



Paper No. 18

**BLAKE CASSELS & GRAYDON
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
BOX 25 COMMERCE COURT WEST
TORONTO, M5L1S-9
CANADA**

COPY MAILED

DEC 29 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Giroux et al.
Application No. 09/235,387
Filed: January 22, 1999
Attorney Docket No. 53921/19

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed December 5, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **granted**.

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply within three months to the final Office action mailed October 22, 2002. A three month extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained on March 27, 2003. Accordingly, this application became abandoned on April 23, 2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on September 25, 2003.

Petitioner has met the requirements to revive the above-identified application pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b).

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed solely to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay.¹ In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was intentional, petitioner must notify

¹ See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997).

the Office.

The file is now being forwarded to Technology Center 2600 for processing of the Request for Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114 filed with the instant petition.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Paralegal Liana Chase at (703) 306-0482.



Wan Laymon
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

cc: Marvin Petry
LARSON & TAYLOR, PLC
1199 North Fairfax Street, Suite 900
Alexandria, VA 22314